

DNA Secrets: Startling Science You Never Knew Possible

By Keith Scott-Mumby MD, MB ChB, PhD



Radio DNA

In *Virtual Medicine*, since the first edition (1999), I have proposed that DNA works by being an energy field transmitter, not a chemical processor. Advancing science has progressed to the point where this may be said to be a proven hypothesis.

This paper enlarges a great deal on the original couple of paragraphs!

The DNA double helix is an ideal shape for a transmitter aerial, and in my view Nature doesn't waste her time on meaningless coincidences. In fact DNA very closely models the shape and performance of the highly efficient fractal aeriels that are used in cell phones. Such an aerial is folded and refolded many times, so that a great deal of transmitting power can be transferred through a very small physical dimension.

Unfortunately, this ideal shape also makes DNA very susceptible to input from external radiation sources, a matter which causes great concern. It has emerged clearly that cell phone dangers are due in part to DNA

damage and this has been solidly proven, despite all the fake studies and posturing of T-Mobile and other providers.

There is more on this hazard aspect in the chapter on The Hazards Of Gaia.

The Realities of DNA

The first thing to grasp about DNA is that it's basically a hoax. It does NOT chemically encode the characteristics of a human being or any creature. The delusion there is a "gene" for everything, such as blue eyes is nonsense. Genes just don't work in the simplistic way that scientists have foisted on us over the years.

For one thing, human DNA has only 25,000 or so "genes". This is fewer than a tomato! Moreover we share a quarter of our genes with a daffodil, so only 18,000 genes could build a human. It's just not enough.

All that genes really do is encode proteins. The DNA sequence is copied to RNA strands and those migrate into the cytoplasm and cause the production of related molecular strands, mostly proteins. It's a sort of photocopy service for the cell; no more complicated than that.

But the biggest hoax is that genes are somehow fixed. Genes are very plastic and can easily be altered.

For example, the blue or brown-eyes gene I mentioned. Any good holistic therapist is able to change brown eyes to blue; as the patient detoxes, so the eyes clear. I have done this to some extent, even with Asians from the Indian subcontinent.

I have even reversed the "bad genes" of Duchenne pattern muscular dystrophy, supposedly an autosomal dominant, which means you are doomed: you get it, end of story. They still think that—but once you have ever seen it happen, that this gene can be switched off, you realize the whole story is a hoax. Even just one example that breaks the "law" means it is not a law...

The most recent update on this is that scientists are now claiming an "understanding" of genes and genetic expression. In fact a veritable storm of papers was published by The Encyclopedia of DNA Elements (ENCODE) international study group. They published 35 papers on September 6-7, 2012, in *Nature*, *Science*, *Genome Biology*, and *Genome Research*.

The streams of code they had arrogantly labeled "junk DNA" they are now saying are "switches" that turn genes on and off. There is talk of

regulatory sections, as opposed to coding sections [of the genome]. They still don't get it and are trying to make DNA autonomous and origin of transferred genetic information.

There is an important missing step.

If these groups of molecules really are switches, what activates them? Who or what is turning the power on and off? Something must trigger the trigger, unless they admit the trigger can think for itself! See, they don't even think of this problem, never mind come up with a solution!

If all that happened is that DNA copied, it would soon discharge all its available material, like a ticker-tape machine gone mad, and then stop. But it doesn't do that. Some other outside force is regulating the process *via the switches*.

But this "stuff makes stuff" model will be around for decades to come and will persist, despite no clear way in which stuff can decide to make other stuff! (why would it suddenly make a copy?)

What their proposed view clearly requires, yet they won't admit it, is that DNA and the cell cytoplasm are conscious and alive. Living tissue is capable of making decisions, even at the microscopic level. But when you know that brain is not the mind, that's hardly a problem, is it?

DNA and RNA to a lesser extent are capable of choosing which sections of the double-helix to copy. If the whole thing automatically copied, willy-nilly, it's back to the same old problem: how does a liver cell know it's in the liver and to ignore the messages for toe cells? All cells have exactly the same coded information.

So cells must be self-aware to a degree and know where in the structure they are. Unless the blueprint is an energetic or "morphogenetic" field. Information can shape energy and what energy creates. But mere "stuff" can't start the process. There has to be intention somewhere.

The ineptness of scientists at what discoveries are really telling them would be laughable, if it were not so frustrating. It's the classic case of scientists trying to project their theories onto Nature, instead of listening to her clear and capable message!

The ENCODE Project

Eleven years ago, the Human Genome Project discovered the blueprint carried by every cell in the body. That's what they keep saying, anyway. But even that's wrong. We all have so many tiny variants, called SNPs

(single-nucleotide polymorphisms) that not one single human is actually carrying the genome, entire.

Then it emerged that there are so few genes in DNA it cannot possible explain the complex processes of life.

But now—THEY SAY—it's solved. According to the ENCODE project (**Encyclopedia Of DNA Elements**), the genome, with its 3-billion-letter code, reads from beginning to end like a book.

But in real life, the genome isn't read like a book. The ENCODE data shows it's an intricate dance, with each step carefully choreographed.

Ewan Birney, PhD, associate director of the European Molecular Biology Laboratory, one of the leaders of the original Human Genome Project, claims, "This is the science for this century. We are going to be working out how we make humans, starting out from a simple instruction manual."

Oh no you are not, Birney. Not unless you can start explaining the paradoxes I've outlined here. Copying (replication) doesn't just happen by chance; something initiates it. That something must be identified and it's not even on your radar (living conscious intention; *not a machine*). You might have an instruction manual but who has been reading it up to now and carrying out the instructions, huh?

Now they have moved the focus from genes to the so-called switches, there is more material to play with. But their whole approach is so far from reality, it's a joke.

They just don't think these things through and instead make wild and untenable claims about "science" and "breakthroughs".

[Maurano MT, et al. Science. 2012;337:1190-1195]

Here's what really happens... It will blow your mind.

DNA is an Energy Transmitting Molecule

DNA contains vast amounts of data—but NOT as chemical strings. The whole human blueprint is there, as soon as the zygote forms. It's a radiated (broadcast) image and the cells as they grow and multiply simply fit where they belong in the blueprint. So a liver cell knows it's a liver cell, because it's sitting in that part of the field which says "liver goes here".


How do I know?

It's easy to change the image and you get a new result!

In the 1970s, Dr. Vlail Kaznacheyev, Director of the Institute for Clinical and Experimental Medicine in Novosibirsk carried out some remarkable experiments. He took two samples of cell cultures, hermetically sealed off from each other. He then infected one sample with a disease process (such as a virus) and shone light through the infected cells onto the other culture with normal healthy cells. The normal cells got the virus DNA/protein too!

That's simply not possible in conventional science. You would have to chemically transfer virus particles from one culture to the other. But Kaznacheyev did it by information transfer, using the electromagnetic spectrum.

This was backed up by the observation that only when a quartz glass screen was inserted between the two cultures, did the DNA transfer effect take place. Normal glass blocked the process. We know that quartz allows UV light; ergo: the energetic transfer agency was light at the UV end of the spectrum.



In other words, you can transmit DNA information.

[Kaznacheyev, Vlail P. et al. "Distant intercellular interactions in a system of two tissue cultures," *Psychoenergetic Systems*, March 1976, pp. 141 – 142]

Nobel Laureate

OK, so Kaznacheyev is a Russian. Maybe they are weird; maybe they can't be trusted? (common Western science attitude).

Well, in a similar experiment, in a paper published in 2009 ([Interdisciplinary Sciences: Computational Life Sciences](#), DOI: [DOI](#))

[10.1007/s12539-009-0036-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12539-009-0036-7)), Nobel Laureate virologist Luc Montagnier (who shared the prize for discovering the HIV virus) described how he discovered the apparent ability of DNA fragments and entire bacteria both to produce weak electromagnetic fields and to "regenerate" themselves in previously uninfected cells.

Montagnier strained a solution of the bacterium *Mycoplasma pirum* through a filter with pores small enough to prevent the bacteria penetrating. The filtered water emitted the same frequency of electromagnetic signal as the bacteria themselves.

He says he has evidence that many species of bacteria and many viruses give out the electromagnetic signals, as do some diseased human cells.

And that's what my book *Virtual Medicine* is all about, by the way!

Click here to buy a discount copy of *Virtual Medicine* (\$12.95 plus shipping): http://www.alternative-doctor.com/virtual_medicine.html

New Definition of A Quack?

But can we change the impact of the DNA codes?

Even more startling was the duck-chick experiments by Chinese researcher Tszyan Kangchen. He shone laser light through duck eggs, onto chicken embryos still in the shell and, when hatched, some of the "chicks" were found to have webbed feet, flat bills (like a duck) and eyes re-arranged in the duck pattern.

Again, this is simply not possible in terms of modern science. However it's obvious enough: the laser beam carried some of the energetic field encoding of "duck" into the chick embryos and re-structured their DNA so that a different creature was born. Again, no chemical "stuff" was required for the transfer.

This particular experiment put me in mind of another story about duck DNA and chickens: A bit of duck DNA might protect poultry from flu.

In a study published online March 22, 2010, in *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, researchers said they've found that a key influenza-fighting gene in wild ducks is absent in chickens. Genetically modifying chickens with a copy of that gene might render them resistant to influenza A, the most common form of flu infecting humans.

This raises the possibility of a whole new kind of medicine, first told to the world in my sensational book *Virtual Medicine*: one in which we could transmit the energetic equivalent of a substance into tissues and bring about healing.

It seems to me that the “living molecule” of DNA would be the natural candidate for this.

Ghost DNA

Still not convinced? Consider the presence of a DNA “cloud” of imprinted energy but no “stuff” present...

Another Russian, Peter Gariaev PhD, the so-called “father of wave genetics”, did this experiment in 1984. He discovered that DNA molecules absorb and hold photons, that is: small quantum packets of light.

That in itself is not surprising. Maybe biophotons are the source of energy that DNA used to work its effects. It could be storing photons, to use like a food supply?

There’s more: the DNA held the light in a significant helical structure, which occupied the exact place of the DNA spiral. It was like a physical blueprint. Even more startling, when the DNA was removed and all physical traces of it had vanished, the light continued spiraling long in the same space, exactly as it had done when the DNA was present. The light knew where the DNA *had been!*

This remarkable effect didn’t go away, either! It lasted up to 30 days after the dismantling of the DNA and equipment. Whatever was holding the photons in place did not need the actual physical molecule or “stuff” to be present. DNA in other words, is seen to be an information field and not a chemical process.

Gariaev's findings allow for a fundamental redefinition of life, that is based on energy and information transfer, not “stuff” at all.

Chemicals (molecules) are dead. Only with the presence of conscious force can they create the phenomena we call *Life*.

Rejuvenating Our Bodies

Peter Gariaev was in good form again in 2000. This time he took seeds killed by the Chernobyl nuclear blast; he shone non-burning laser light through healthy seeds, onto the dead seeds, and they revived! They grew into fully healthy adult plants.

This is telling me that DNA as a molecule is dead; it's just "stuff". There needs to be that extra dimensions of livingness-consciousness to do anything. The idea that just molecules can "do life" is not consistent with this view.

To clinch it, Gariaev tried a similar experiments on rats. The animals were given a lethal dose of a toxin called alloxan. It destroys the pancreas and it's 100% lethal after a few days.

But if the rats were first irradiated with light shone through healthy pancreas and spleen, they did not die. That's amazing. There was no biochemical exchange, note, only an energetic/information transfer. Over 90% of the rats survived AND they actually regrew a healthy new pancreas. Within 12 days, they were as good as normal.

That's the obvious stamp of radio DNA, giving out signals which carry the morphogenetic code for all parts of our bodies.

(imagine the potential of this: we could rejuvenate old folks by shining laser light from dazzling fit youths and maidens into their tissues; a bit Dracula-ish, don't you think?)

So this is even beyond my original *Virtual Medicine* message. I was writing revolutionary theories about broadcasting the electromagnetic energy signals of penicillin or insulin (instead of the real stuff).

But now it seems we could do better: to hell with the remedy, just send the message "healthy organs" at full volume!

What's more this discovery poses a whole new approach to aging: to transmit signals of youthful healthy tissues! Peter Gariaev stumbled on the possibility by chance. He was transmitting healthy messages from a youth to an elderly lady and this enabled the woman to grow new teeth!

It is (almost) beyond belief, unless your model is firmly rooted in the wonder of life and the Divine. Nothing is beyond the dazzling power of Creation.

And they STILL want us to believe dead molecules are the "cause" of life!

One more, then I'll stop for now (you'll have to read the new edition of *Virtual Medicine* when it comes out!)

Benveniste Story All Over Again?


Everyone knows the sad story of Jacques Benveniste, the “memory of water” fiasco and James Randy’s crooked posturings.

Benveniste, of course was right. There was no hoax. His work has been replicated many times since, in reputable labs. But orthodox scientists (and twisted magicians) continue to extol that it was all discredited and Benveniste’s work “fraudulent”.

Well, they now have something else to side-step. This time it’s the memory of water imprinted with DNA particles. Moreover, the scientist this time was none other than Nobel Laureate for 2008, Luc Montagnier. It’s going to be tougher to discredit him.

In what has been christened “the teleportation of DNA”, Montagnier’s team set up two adjacent but physically separate test tubes within a copper coil and subjected to a very weak extremely low frequency electromagnetic field of 7 hertz. The apparatus was isolated from Earth’s natural magnetic field to stop it interfering with the experiment. One tube contained a fragment of DNA around 100 bases long; the second tube contained pure water.

There was no physical connection between the two tubes at all.



After 16 to 18 hours, both samples were independently subjected to the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). The gene fragments were found in both tubes, even though one should have contained just water. These additional fragments were replicants, generated by low-frequency

electromagnetic waves transmitted from the original "real" DNA in the other tube.

Physicists in Montagnier's team suggest that the DNA structure is preserved and amplified through quantum coherence effects and, because it mimics the shape of the original DNA, the enzymes in the PCR process mistake it for DNA itself (arxiv.org/abs/1012.5166).

What was interesting to note that this effect didn't take place unless the DNA was diluted to homeopathic proportions around 10X to 12X (10D to 12D in Europe); the process of so-called "potentizing". Readers of my book *Virtual Medicine* will recall a chart I included which shows homeopathic Belladonna actually broadcasting energy waves and that the signal got stronger and stronger, the higher the dilution.

Of course this defies the biochemical effect. But homeopathy isn't about "stuff", it's about information and energy.

Moreover, Montagnier's results could not be detected at the ultra-high homeopathic dilutions.


The DNA is being replicated from its quantum imprint. All this will be everyday science in a hundred years or less but, for now, the dinosaurs are having a field day, scoffing and congratulating each other that they are the custodians of "real" science.

Many researchers contacted for comment by *New Scientist* reacted with disbelief. Gary Schuster, who studies DNA conductance effects at Georgia Institute of Technology in Atlanta, compared it to "pathological science", according to a report in *New Scientist*. Jacqueline Barton, who does similar work at the California Institute of Technology in Pasadena, was equally sceptical. "There aren't a lot of data given, and I don't buy the explanation," she says.

"The structure would be destroyed instantly," says Felix Franks, a retired academic chemist in London who has studied water for many years. Franks was involved as a peer reviewer in the debunking of a controversial study in 1988 which claimed that water had a memory. "Water has no 'memory'," he says now. "You can't make an imprint in it and recover it later."

Well Mr. Franks, he's done it! Now we are waiting for other groups to replicate this work.

[Didn't "experts" state categorically that heavier-than-air machines could never fly?]




Radio Belladonna!

Legend: each layer is more dilute than the one below (so D30 is more dilute than D12, and so on). Spikes above the line mean the solution is actually transmitting EMF energy. Notice the largest spike for D200, the most dilute of these solutions tested. D1 (dilute 10 times) and the original tincture 1:250 did not transmit.

Montagnier asserts, "What we have found is that DNA produces structural changes in water, which persist at very high dilutions, and which lead to resonant electromagnetic signals that we can measure. Not all DNA produces signals that we can detect with our device. The high-intensity signals come from bacterial and viral DNA."

Montagnier's work has been derided, of course, just like Benveniste's. But that doesn't trouble Montagnier. He's gone to China, with a whole institute put at his disposal, named after him and funded by the Chinese.

BUY 2ND EDITION VIRTUAL MEDICINE 30% OFF!



Click here to get a special discount order (shipping extra)

Just \$12.95

Click here: http://www.alternative-doctor.com/virtual_medicine.html

[ORIGINAL SOURCE: ENCODE Project Consortium, *Nature*, Sept. 6, 2012.

The ENCODE data was published in more than 30 journal articles, including an overview article and other papers in the journal *Nature*. *Nature* is making all the data available through its web site and is creating online information "threads," so people interested in one part of the research can follow the data across all of the papers]